## *Economics and Business Department* MULTI-YEAR ASSESSMENT PLAN

Program Learning Outcomes	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	2020- 2021	2021- 2022	2022- 2023	Means of Assessment, and Benchmarks	Who is in charge?	How the loop will be closed /has been closed?
PLO 1 Core Knowledge-							Direct methods:	Edd Noell	Findings:
Concept Improvement							National Economics Exam parts A & B <b>Benchmark:</b>	Enrico Manlapig Martin Asher	Several concepts, including market equilibrium, monetary functions and monetary policy, and consumer surplus should see improved student performance
	X	Х					Class average of 70% on the national economics field examination		<b>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</b> Rather than during Senior Seminar, we will administer Exam Form A at the end of the Intermediate Microeconomics course, and Exam Form B at the end of the Intermediate Macroeconomics course
PLO 1 Core Knowledge-							Direct methods:	Martin Asher	Findings:
Graphical Cues		X	X				National Economics Exam part B <b>Benchmark:</b>		Intermediate Macroeconomics results can be improved with additional graphical cues. Questions have not included graphical cues and would likely
							Class average of 70% on the national		be better understood with more supplied graphical cues.
							economics field examination		<b>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</b> We will supply more graphical cues
PLO 1 Core Knowledge-							Direct methods:	Enrico	Findings:
Examination Tool	x						National Economics Exam parts A & B	Manlapig Martin Asher	Specific questions with negative rpi need to be reviewed and either replaced or modified
							Benchmark: Class average of 70%		<b>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</b> We will revise and replace one question for Form A and two

PLO 2 Research- Diverse Tools	x	X	X				on the national economics field examination <b>Direct methods:</b> Course work in EB020 (Research and Forecasting), EB115 (Game Theory), and EB193 (Applied Research in Economics and Business) <b>Benchmark:</b> Application of evaluation rubric where 80% of students perform at the Developed or Highly Developed level on all	Enrico Manlapig	<ul> <li>questions for Form B since each question had a negative rpi score.</li> <li>Findings:</li> <li>We recognize the diversity of research methods. As valid modes of inquiry, we should include performing market research and preparing case studies</li> <li>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</li> <li>We will embrace a broader array of valid modes of inquiry and evaluate those modes in our new evaluation rubric</li> </ul>
PLO 2 Research- Research Components			x	X	x	X	Developed level on all learning outcome activities <b>Direct methods:</b> Course work in EB020 (Research and Forecasting), EB115 (Game Theory), and EB193 (Applied Research in Economics and Business) <b>Benchmark:</b> Application of evaluation rubric where 80% of students perform at the	Enrico Manlapig	Findings: We recognize there are additional components of the research process that we did not assess, including design, analysis, and reflection <b>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</b> Improve the reliability and transparency of the assessment process with a new rubric that is intentionally broad from a disciplinary perspective. Specific dimensions should appropriately reflect the broad research outcomes the department expects for its students.

PLO 3 Communication- Oral Content Delivery	X	X		Developed or Highly Developed level on all learning outcome activities.Direct methods:EB 191 	Rick Ifland	Findings: The delivery of content for oral communications can be improved Closing-the-Loop Actions: We will adjust focus to include more lectures on the delivery of content We will increase promotion of on campus Writing Center that offers workshops and peer tutoring services
PLO 3 Communication- Oral Language		X	X	Direct methods:EB 191 (Entrepreneurship and New Venture Development) team oral presentationsBenchmark:Application of evaluation rubric where language choices are more imaginative,	Rick Ifland	Findings:Students should have an improved use of language (breadth and depth) for oral presentationsClosing-the-Loop Actions: We will adjust focus to include more lectures on the importance of languageProfessor to give sample oral presentation early in semesterPromotion of on campus Writing Center that offers workshops and

				memorable, and compelling to enhance the effectiveness of the presentation.		peer tutoring services
PLO 3 Communication-				Direct methods:	Rick Ifland	Findings:
Written Organization				EB 140 (Executive Leadership) weekly executive summaries		Students should demonstrate improved organization of written presentations
		x		Benchmark:		<b>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</b> Adjusted focus to include more lectures on the importance of
	X	X		Application of evaluation rubric where student uses better organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices wiclarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free		structure in organizing papers Promotion of on campus Writing Center that offers workshops and peer tutoring services
<b>PLO 4 Christian Synthesis-</b> Faith Synthesis		x		Direct methods: EB 003 (Principles of Accounting) questionnaire and EB	Coby Harmon Rick Ifland	<b>Findings:</b> Students should show an improved ability to articulate a clear view of the relationship between faith and
	X		X	195 (Senior Seminar) capstone paper Benchmark:		economics and business <b>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</b> In EB 195, incorporation of additional reading materials on the synthesis of faith, learning and work
				Application of evaluation rubric portion that represents an understanding of how faith intersects with the study of economics and business.		In EB 195, produce similar questionnaire to EB 003 to establish benchmark In EB 003, expansion of questionnaire

PLO 4 Christian Synthesis-							Direct methods:	Coby Harmon	Findings:
Evaluation Alignment							Adjustments made to each assignment	Rick Ifland	Professors can improve alignment between questionnaire in EB 003 and capstone paper in EB 195
				X	Х	X	Benchmark: Application of evaluation rubric where 80% of students perform at the Developed level on all learning outcome activities		<b>Closing-the-Loop Actions:</b> In EB 195, produce similar questionnaire to EB 003 to establish benchmark In EB 003, expansion of questionnaire
<b>PLO 4 Christian Synthesis</b> - Faith Integration							Direct methods:	All faculty	Findings:
Patur Integration							Departmental meetings		Improved clarity of student's intentional integration of faith into
					Х	X	Review of syllabi		every taught course
							, ,		Closing-the-Loop Actions:
									In all courses, deepen the integration of faith into learning
Key Questions	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	2020- 2021	2021- 2022	2022- 2023	Means of inquiry and evaluation	Who is in charge?	Data-guided recommendations
GE Projects	2017- 2018	2018- 2019	2019- 2020	2020- 2021	2021- 2022	2022- 2023	Means of inquiry and evaluation	Who is in charge?	Data-guided recommendations
Increase Thinking Globally							Review of GE	Rick Ifland	Evaluation of course material and
courses	x	x					requirements		submission to committee of some courses to consider to better align
	^	^					Since our previous		department courses with general
							assessment, we now		education goals and requirements

Increase <b>Writing Intensive</b> courses	x	x			offer more courses that deepen the student's experience in thinking globally Review of GE requirements Since our previous assessment, we have updated courses that now offer equal or more intense writing assignments than the courses currently offered as writing intensive. Further, our PLO 3 assessment for writing competency is in a course that does not have the writing	Rick Ifland	Evaluation of course material and submission to committee of some courses to consider to better align department courses with general education goals and requirements
Increase Serving Society courses	x	x			intensive designation Review of GE requirements Since our previous assessment, we now offer new and updated courses which offer equal or deeper service to society than the courses currently offering serving society credit	Rick Ifland	Evaluation of course material and submission to committee of some courses to consider to better align department courses with general education goals and requirements

<u>Comments/Reflections</u>: We have spent considerable time revamping our department over the past several years. We are still midstream in so many ways as is evident throughout this report. In spite of our efforts, we have likely made some mistakes along the way. Still, we feel much healthier as a department than we were just a few years ago. The above items reflect our continued desire to improve our department, to better align our efforts to institutional goals and objectives, and to foster a student experience that is deeper and more meaningful to each person, especially an understanding for each student that their gifts and passions come directly from God and therefore can ad should be used to understanding the economy and to engage the business world to improve lives and society.

	Departmental Program Review Retreats											
Date	Agenda	Decisions made	Participants									

- 1. Adjust the Multi-Year Assessment Plan to your department six-year assessment cycle.
- 2. Align your program-level assessment with the institutional assessment whenever possible: e.g., if your department has the Critical Thinking outcome among your Program Learning Outcomes, it is recommended to assess this outcome in the 2013-2014 academic year unless your department assessed this particular outcome in 2012-2013. If your department has the outcome aligned with the Quantitative Literacy ILO it should be assessed in the 2016-2017 academic year, etc.